

# QuEChERS

INFORMATIONAL BOOKLET



# QuEChERS, the Multiresidue Method of Choice

QuEChERS (pronounced Catchers), an acronym for Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe, is a sample preparation and clean-up technique for the analysis of multiple pesticide residues in high moisture food samples. Since the development and publication of the method by Anastassiades and Lehotay, et al in 2003, QuEChERS has been gaining significant popularity. It is the method of choice for food analysis because it combines several steps and extends the range of pesticides recovered over older, more tedious extraction methods. The method has undergone various modifications and enhancements over the years since its first introduction. These have been designed to improve recovery for specific types of pesticides. Although Schenck and Vega published a clean-up method in 2001 prior to the introduction of QuEChERS, its techniques may be incorporated in the current method enhancing QuEChERS utility.

While primarily used for the analysis of fruits and vegetables, the QuEChERS method is also finding utility as the sample preparation method of choice for a full range of food products such as honey, nut meats, soybeans, animal feeds, foliage and other foods as well. Organic acids, plant pigments and other potential contaminants are removed during the cleanup process yielding cleaner chromatograms. The method offers the advantages of high recoveries, accurate results, high sample throughput and low non-chlorinated solvent usage. This reduces reagent costs and staff exposure to hazardous solvents. Additionally, glassware usage and labor costs are reduced since sample requirements are small and less bench space is required. The broad utility and ease of use makes the method an excellent choice for residue analysis.

#### The Need for QuEChERS

Consensus has been growing within the scientific community that small doses of pesticides and other chemicals can have adverse health effect on humans and animals. In the last few years, pesticide residues in foods have become a major consumer safety issue since

application of chemical pesticides for food products is widely used. Also, as large quantities of fruits and vegetables are now imported, concerns have arisen as to their safety versus those grown domestically. To address these concerns, regulatory agencies have resorted to the use of various analytical methods to monitor these food stocks increasing both the scope of residue analysis and the number of samples analyzed.

The analysis of pesticide residues in food and environmental samples has been practiced for over 40 years by laboratories throughout the world. The method of extracting pesticide residues from food samples and preparing them for analysis is a time consuming, expensive, and labor intensive process. To address this problem, new multiresidue methods such as the **Quechers** method have been developed to accommodate the increase in sample loads. This new multiresidue method has yielded an increase in laboratory throughput while also improving analytical sensitivity. Improved throughput has been accomplished primarily by enhanced sample cleanup products that reduce potential interferences to yield cleaner chromatograms and reduced potential instrument downtime.

Multiresidue methods cover a broad scope of pesticides (see Appendix I) and offer the advantages of being cost-effective, rapid, sensitive, and sufficiently accurate for regulatory purposes. The **QuEChERS** method streamlines analysis and makes it easier and less expensive for analytical chemists to examine high moisture foods where water content may present problems with extraction of pesticides. Even dried vegetation can be rehydrated prior to extraction to facilitate the use of the **QuEChERS** method.



#### **How Does QuEChERS Work?**

Quechers is known as a multiclass, multiresidue method (MRM) for analysis of pesticides from high water content (80-95%) matrices. Multi-residue pesticide analysis of food and environmental samples can be problematic due to the wide range of chemical properties encountered with pesticide residues. Also, the complex sample matrix may contain abundant quantities of chlorophyll, lipids, sterols and other components that can interfere with good sample analysis. Use of the Quechers method reduces these problems.

The QuEChERS method now published as AOAC method 2007.01 "Determination of Pesticide Residues in Foods by Acetonitrile Extraction and Partitioning with Magnesium Sulfate" consists essentially of a liquid-liquid micro extraction. This is followed by sample cleanup to remove unwanted matrix materials that interfere with chromatographic analysis. After shaking a sample with acetonitrile (MeCN) buffered with sodium acetate, partitioning is aided by the addition of MgSO. The preferred solvent is acetonitrile because it has been shown to provide extraction of the broadest range of organic compounds without co-extraction of large amounts of lipophilic material. Although other solvents can be employed depending upon the residues to be extracted, acetonitrile is highly compatible with GC/MS and LC/ MS applications showing the fewest interferences.

Some modifications to the original **Quechers** method have been introduced to ensure efficient extraction of pH dependent compounds (e.g. phenoxyalcanoic acids), to minimize degradation of susceptible compounds (e.g. base and acid labile pesticides) and to expand the spectrum of food matrices amenable by the method. Buffering with citrate salts has been introduced in the first extraction/partitioning step to adjust the pH to a compromise value of 5 to 5.5, where most acid and base labile pesticides are sufficiently stabilized. To improve stability of base-labile compounds in the sample extracts, a small amount of formic acid is added to the final extract after cleanup using a primary-secondary amine (PSA) sorbent. Acidic pesticides are directly analyzed from the raw extract before

PSA cleanup. In another modification introduced by Schenck, graphitized carbon black (GCB) is used to remove plant pigments.

Currently there are three variations of the **QuEChERS** method being used in the United States

- The original QuEChERS method. Introduced in 2003, this method used sodium chloride to enhance extraction.
- 2) **Dispersive AOAC 2007.01**. Uses sodium acetate as a buffer replacing sodium chloride.
- 3) The dual phase column: This method variation introduces the use of PSA and GCB to remove high levels of chlorophyll and plant sterols in the final extract without the loss of planar pesticides (polar aromatics) using an acetone:toluene solvent blend (3:1).
  - \*Examples of planar (polar aromatic) pesticides which may be removed by graphitic carbon: chlorothalonil, coumaphos, hexachlorobenzene, thiabendazoleterbufos, quintozene






Dry commodities such as cereals, dried fruits, tobacco or teas require the addition of water prior to extraction to weaken interactions of pesticides with the matrix and to ensure adequate partitioning. Even commodities with high lipid content such as avocados or other high oil load plants can be analyzed by this method. However, due to a partitioning to the lipid phase, highly non-polar pesticides may give relatively low yet consistent recoveries of up to 70%. These co-extracted lipids can be reduced by a freezing-out step or the use of a C18 cleanup step.

The **Quechers** method gives at least fourfold lower material costs with significantly greater sample

throughput per analyst than traditional methods. By combination of several different steps there is less chance for the introduction of error at each step. A polypropylene (PP) tube is the only consumable item required eliminating all glassware used in conventional methods. Furthermore, less than 10 mL of solvent waste is generated, much less than the 75-450 mL generated by other methods. Key to the new approach is the re-discovery of a rapid procedure called dispersive solid-phase extraction. This technique quickly removes residual moisture with magnesium sulfate. Other potential interferences are reduced by employing a primary-secondary amine sorbent to capture acidic components.

### How is QuEChERS Conducted?

Procedural steps in the QuEChERS analysis for base sensitive compounds can be outlined in the schematic representation below:





#### **QuEChERS Methods**

## Dispersive Methods AOAC 2007.01 in brief

(if base sensitive compounds are present)

- To product ECMSSA50CT containing 6 grams anhydrous magnesium sulfate and 1.5 grams of anhydrous sodium acetate in a 50 mL polypropylene centrifuge tube, add 15 mL of 1% acetic acid in acetonitrile
- 2. Shake to mix contents
- 3. Add surrogate or internal standards if desired
- **4.** Add 15 grams homogenized hydrated sample to the centrifuge tube
- 5. Shake for 1 minute
- 6. Centrifuge for 1 minute at 3700 rpm
- Add an aliquot of the supernatant to the appropriate dispersive clean-up product (See Product List and Use Description below for selection) UCT CUMPSCB2CT, CUMPS2CT, CUMPSC18CT, ECMPSCB15CT, or ECMPSC1815CT
- 8. Shake for 1 minute
- 9. Centrifuge for 1 minute at 3700 rpm
- 10. Analyze extract

For compounds that are not base sensitive the following procedure provides a cleaner extract. This procedure is also necessary for acid labile compounds.

- To product ECMSSC50CT containing 6 grams anhydrous magnesium sulfate and 1.0 gram of sodium chloride in a 50 mL polypropylene centrifuge tube, add 15 mL of acetonitrile
- 2. Shake to mix contents
- 3. Add surrogate or internal standards if desired
- **4.** Add 15 grams homogenized hydrated sample to the centrifuge tube
- 5. Shake for 1 minute
- **6.** Centrifuge for 1 minute at 3700 rpm
- Add a aliquot of the supernatant to the appropriate dispersive solid-phase cleanup tube: UCT CUMPSCB2CT, CUMPS2CT, CUMPSC18CT, ECMPSCB15CT, or ECMPSC1815CT (See Product List and Use Description below)
- 8. Shake for 1 minute
- 9. Centrifuge for 1 minute at 3700 rpm
- 10. Analyze extract

Matrix plant pigments often interfere with analysis. To

reduce these interferences, graphitized carbon can be added to the dispersive solid-phase clean-up tubes. However, the use of carbon may result in a loss of planar [polar aromatic] pesticides. Cleanup of plant pigments without loss of planar pesticides can be accomplished by using the UCT dual-phase cartridge containing GCB and PSA.

**Dual Phase Cartridge Clean-Up Procedure** (elution for planar [polar aromatic] compounds)

- 1. Pre-rinse cartridge with 5 mL of toluene
- 2. Add an aliquot of the supernatant to the cartridge
- 3. Start collection
- 4. Elute with 6-12 mL of 3:1 acetone:toluene
- 5. Concentrate for GC/MS analysis or
- **6.** Concentrate to dryness and reconstitute in mobile phase for LC analysis

Cartridge product selection used for this analysis: UCT ECPSACB6, ECPSACB256 or ECPSACB506 depending upon sorbent mass required.



#### **Effect of Solvent Volume on Extraction**

In a study designed to evaluate the effect of sample mass to solvent ratio (1:1 & 2:1MeCN) on recovery in a spiked fruit sample, Table 1 shows that the most polar pesticides did not partition into the MeCN phase as

readily with the use of a lower solvent volume. However recovery still remained above 75% which is suitable for most analytical purposes. This indicates that the use of a minimum quantity of solvent for increased sensitivity will still yield good recovery values.

Table 1

Average Recovery (%) of Selected Pesticides from a 10 gram Fruit Sample

Pesticide	MeCN, 5 mL	MeCN, 10 mL	
Dichlorvos	95	96	
Methamidophos*	76	95	
Mevinphos	96	100	
Acephate*	84	99	
o-Phenylphenol	94	94	
Omethoate*	85	100	
Diazinon	95	99	
Chlorothalonil	94	95	
Metalaxyl	94	100	
Carbaryl	93	99	
Dichlofluanid	97	97	
Captan	97	100	
Thiobendazole*	88	99	
Folpet	92	94	
lmazalil	92	102	

\*most polar Anastassiades, M. & Lehotay, S. J. of AOAC International, Vol., 86, 2003

# **UCT Products Reduce Contamination Often Found in Laboratory Preparations**

Many laboratories assemble their own clean-up products for the **QuEChERS** analysis. However contamination may be inadvertently introduced in the final extract complicating analyte peak identification. In a study conducted at the USDA ARS Eastern Regional Research Center, commercially prepared **QuEChERS** products were compared to those products prepared in the USDA lab. Bulk anhydrous magnesium sulfate, PSA and endcapped C18 sorbents provided by UCT, were assembled in the USDA lab then compared to UCT manufactured products using the same lot of bulk sorbents. The ratio of magnesium sulfate, PSA and C18 was 3:1:1 for this test. The clean-up products were evaluated on extracts of milk, honey and soybean and the efficacy of clean-up was determined by GC/MS analysis.

Comparison of the extracts was made by counting the number of GC peaks above the threshold. Results clearly showed that the commercially prepared product provided superior clean-up to the product prepared in the lab. This result was confirmed in all three matrices. The extra peaks observed in the lab prepared product were probably caused by contamination from within the lab environment. The UCT assembled products were prepared under controlled manufacturing conditions that eliminated potential contamination typically encountered in lab environments. These results, coupled with obvious time and labor savings for assembly, indicate that **Quechers** products preassembled at UCT are preferable to products made "in-house".


The results of these tests are summarized in Table 2. Chromatograms are shown for honey.




# **Chromatograms**

### Honey Extracted with "In-House" Product

#### **Honey Extract Cleaned with UCT Products**





The chromatogram on the left is representative of those obtained when clean-up materials were prepared in-house. The chromatogram on the right was obtained using UCT prepackaged **Quechers** products and shows a significant reduction in background interferences. This difference in background interference is thought to be due to sorption of contaminants from the laboratory environment.

Chromatograms for soybean and milk products showed similar improved clean-up when using UCT manufactured vs. "in-house" prepared products.




Table 2 **Total Number of Peaks as Seen in a GC Chromatogram** 

Data indicate that the use of UCT prepared products results in cleaner chromatograms

Matrix	HONEY		SOYBEAN		MILK	
	# of peaks					
Replicate	UCT	USDA	UCT	USDA	UCT	USDA
1	7	20	7	17	43	91
2	9	12	8	15	49	103
3	7	21	5	20	52	108
4	8	24	2	12	43	121
5	5	18	6	8	46	117
6	5	22	2	13	45	104
7	8	8	7	11	49	89
8	4	13	4	10	103	117
9	5	18	4	7	107	127
10	8	12	3	9	106	127
11	6	15	2	31	116	120
12	6	12	8	28	126	118
13	6	19	6	35	104	119
14	6	21	4	51	106	108
15	5	20	4	43	100	118
16	4	14	7	43	109	113
Average	6	17	5	22	81	113

# **Summary Comparison of Sample Cleanliness**





## Why use UCT SPE QuEChERS products?

- Save valuable laboratory time in preparation
  - Reduced variability due to consistent product and rigorous quality control
- Cleaner extracts from cleaner products
- Extraneous GC peak counts are significantly lower using UCT prepared QuEChERS product
- Variability of GC peak counts on replicate samples were significantly lower using UCT QuEChERS products
- Dual layer columns are packaged in Mylar to eliminate potential sorbent contamination
- MgSO<sub>4</sub> is specially treated in a muffle furnace to remove organic contaminates typically encountered with in-house preparation

UCT provides a variety of solid-phase QuEChERS clean-up products that contain the proper sorbents for optimum extraction, clean-up and separation of analytes from complex matrices

# **UCT Products Used in the Micro Extraction Step**

Cartridge product ECMSSA50CT is a 50 mL extraction tube that contains 6 grams of anhydrous magnesium sulfate and 1.5 grams of anhydrous sodium acetate. It complies with AOAC Method 2007.01. It is designed to allow the extraction of "base sensitive" compounds such as chlorothalonil, dichlofluanid, tolyfluanid, folpet, captafol, and captan from non-acidic matrices.


Cartridge product ECMSSC50CT is a 50 mL extraction tube contains 4 grams of anhydrous magnesium sulfate and 1 gram of sodium chloride. It is designed for use where base sensitive compounds are not present or are not of analytical interest. Eliminating the buffer allows a cleaner extract, and the sodium chloride aids in the extraction of the analytes.

Cartridge product EUMIV50CT is a 50 mL extraction tube that contains 6 grams anhydrous magnesium sulfate, 1.5 grams of sodium chloride, 1.5g sodium citrate dihydrate, and 0.75 grams of sodium citrate sesquihydrate.

# **UCT Cartridge Products Used** for Sample Clean-Up

Several cartridge products are offered for use in sample clean-up. UCT provides a variety of QuEChERS products in SPE cartridge format which include PSA and GCB. These sorbents are used to remove various polar organic acids, polar pigments, some sugars and fatty acid co-extractables from QuEChERS extracts. These sorbents may be additionally combined with C18 for the removal of fatty plant lipids and sterols. Graphitized carbon black is used to remove sterols and pigments such as chlorophyll. Magnesium sulfate or other salts are used to enhance extraction as well as the removal of water and the partitioning of residues into the solvent phase. Because carbon has a strong affinity to retain planar molecules, Schenck et al have reported that the use of a 3:1 acetone:toluene solvent blend performed well at eluting these compounds from carbon sorbents. Bulk sorbents are also available from the UCT catalog.

# Sorbent Mass Comparison by Cartridge Product





# **Products List and Use Description**

Part Number	Contents and Use Description Uni	its/Pack
	ChloroFiltr™ Dispersive Products	
ChloroFiltr™ is a re	evolutionary new QuEChERS sorbent for the removal of chlorophyll without the loss of a	nalytes.
CUMPSGG2CT	2mL micro-centrifuge tubes with 150mg magnesium sulfate, 50mg PSA and 50mg ChloroFiltr™	100
ECMPSGG15CT	15mL centrifuge tube with 900mg magnesium sulfate, 300mg PSA and 150mg ChloroFiltr™	50
	Dispersive Products	
CUMPSCB2CT	2mL micro-centrifuge tubes with 150mg Anhydrous Magnesium Sulfate, 50mg PSA, 50mg Carbon	100
	A dispersive SPE product for removing polar organic acids, some sugars and lipids which may cause some loss of planar pesticides. Designed for use with a 2mL aliquot of supernatant.	of
CUMPS2CT	2mL micro-centrifuge tubes with 150mg Anhydrous Magnesium Sulfate, 50mg PSA	100
	A dispersive SPE product for removing polar organic acids, some sugars and lipids. Designed for use with a 2ml aliquot of supernatant.	-
CUMPSC18CT	2mL micro-centrifuge tubes with 150mg Anhydrous Magnesium Sulfate, 50mg PSA, 50mg endcapped C18	100
	A dispersive SPE product for removing polar organic acids, sterols, some sugars and lipids. Designed for use wit 2mL aliquot of supernatan <b>t</b> .	h a
CUMPS15C18CT	2mL micro-centrifuge tubes with 150mg Anhydrous Magnesium Sulfate, 150mg PSA & 50mg endcapped C18	100
ECMPSCB15CT	15mL centrifuge tubes with 900mg Anhydrous Magnesium Sulfate, 300mg PSA, 150mg carbon	50
	A dispersive SPE product for removing polar organic acids, some sugars and lipids. This product will cause the loss of planar pesticides. Designed for use with a 10mL aliquot of supernatant.	
ECMPSC1815CT	15mL centrifuge tubes with 900mg Anhydrous Magnesium Sulfate, 300mg PSA, 150mg endcappe	d C18 50
	A dispersive SPE product for removing polar organic acids, sterols, some sugars and lipids from a 10mL aliquot.	
ECMS12CPSA415CT	15mL centrifuge tube with 1200mg magnesium sulfate, 400mg PSA	50
ECMNAX15CT	15mL centrifuge tube with 900mg magnesium sulfate, 150mg of aminopropyl	50
	Florida-Modified QuEChERS for State Program Fruits and Vegetables	
ECMPSA50CT	50mL centrifuge tube with 1200mg magnesium sulfate, 200mg PSA	250
	Cartridge Products	
ECPSACB6	6mL cartridges with 200mg Graphitized Carbon on top, 400mg PSA on bottom, separated by a Teflon frit	30
	Used in the Schenck variation of QuEChERS, this product removes pigments, polar organic acids, some sugars and lipids from an aliquot of extract.	d
ECPSACB256	6mL cartridges with 250mg Graphitized Carbon on top, 500mg PSA on the bottom, separated wit a Teflon frit	h 30
	Used for the same application as ECPSACB6 but with a different quantity of sorbents. When in doubt use ECPSACB256.	
ECPSACB506	6mL cartridges with 500mg Graphitized Carbon on top, 500mg PSA on the bottom, separated with a Teflon frit	30
	Used for the same application as ECPSACB6 but with a different quantity of sorbents. When in doubt use ECPSACB256.	
ECNAXCB506	6mL cartridges with 500mg Aminopropyl and 500mg Graphitized Carbon	30



# **Products List and Use Description**

Units/Pack	Contents and Use Description	Part Number
	European QuEChERS Method EN 15662	
100	2mL centrifuge tube with 150mg magnesium sulfate, 25mg PSA	ECQUEU12CT
100	2mL centrifuge tube with 150mg magnesium sulfate, 25mg C18, 25mg PSA	ECQUEU22CT
100	2mL centrifuge tube with 150mg magnesium sulfate, 25mg PSA, 2.5mg GCB	ECQUEU32CT
100	2mL centrifuge tube with 150mg magnesium sulfate, 25mg PSA, 7.5mg GCB	ECQUEU42CT
	15mL centrifuge tube with 4000mg magnesium sulfate, 1000mg NaCl, 500mg	ECQUEU415CT
50	sodium citrate dibasic sesquihydrate, 1000mg sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate	
50	15mL centrifuge tube with 6000mg magnesium sulfate, 1500mg sodium acetate	ECQUEU215CT
50	15mL centrifuge tube with 900mg magnesium sulfate, 150mg PSA	ECMPS15CT
50	15mL centrifuge tube with 900mg magnesium sulfate, 150mg PSA, 150mg C18	ECQUEU315CT
50	15mL centrifuge tube with 900mg magnesium sulfate, 150mg PSA, 15mg GCB	ECQUEU515CT
50	15mL centrifuge tube with 900mg magnesium sulfate, 150mg PSA, 45mg GCB	ECQUEU615CT
	50mL centrifuge tube with 4000mg magnesium sulfate, 1000mg NaCl, 500mg	ECQUEU750CT
50	sodium citrate dibasic sesquihydrate, 1000mg sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate	



Units/Pack

# **QuEChERS Multi-Packs**

EUMIV50CT-MP	Each pouch will contain 6000 mg magnesium sulfate, 1500 mg sodium chloride,	
	1500mg of sodium citrate dihydrate, 750mg of disodium citrate sesquihydrate	50
ECMSSA50CT-MP	Each pouch will contain 6000 mg of magnesium sulfate and 1500 mg of	
	anhydrous sodium acetate	50
ECMSSC50CT-MP	Each pouch will contain 4000 mg of magnesium sulfate and 1000 mg of	
	sodium chloride	50
ECMSSC50CTFS-MP	Each pouch will contain 6000 mg of magnesium sulfate and 1500 mg of	
	sodium chloride	50
ECQUVIN50CT-MP	Each pouch will contain 8000 mg of magnesium sulfate and 2000 mg of	
	sodium chloride	50
ECQUEU750CT-MP	Each pouch will contain 4000 mg of magnesium sulfate, 1000 mg of sodium	
	chloride, 500 mg of sodium citrate dibasic sesquihydrate, and 1000mg sodium	
	citrate tribasic dihydrate	50



## References

M. Anastassiades, S.J. Lehotay, D. Stainbaher, and F. Schenck, (2003) J. AOAC Internat., 86, 412-431.

Schenck, F. J. and Vega, V. (2001) "The Elution/Retention Characteristics of Pesticides on Graphitized Carbon and Amino Solid Phase Extraction Columns." LIB# 4244.

S.J. Lehotay, "Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe (QuECh-ERS) Approach for Determining Pesticide Residues" in Methods in Biotechnology, Vol. 19, Pesticide Protocols, Martinez Vidal, J.L. and Garrido Frenich, A. (Eds.) Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, 2005, pp. 239-261.

F. J. Schenck, Victor Vega, "The Elution/Retention Characteristics of Pesticides on Graphitized Carbon and Amino Solid Phase Extraction Columns" Southeastern Regional Laboratory Pesticides; April 2001 Laboratory Information Bulletion. S.J. Lehotay, A.de Kok, M. Hiemstra, and P. Van Bodegraven, "Validation of a Fast and Easy Method for the Determination of 229 Pesticide Residues in Fruits and Vegetables Using Gas and Liquid Chromatography and Mass Spectrometric Detection", J. AOAC Int., 88, 595-614 (2005).

S.J. Lehotay, K. Mastovska, and A.R. Lightfield, "Use of buffering to improve results of problematic pesticides in a fast and easy method for residue analysis of fruits and vegetables" J. AOAC Int., 88, 615-629 (2005).

S.J. Lehotay, K. Mastovska, and S.J.Yun, "Evaluation of Two Fast and Easy Methods for Pesticide Residue Analysis in Fatty Food Matrices" J. AOAC Int., 88, 630-638 (2005).

F.J. Schenck and J.E. Hobbs, "Evaluation of the Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe (QuEChERS) approach to pesticide residue analysis" Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 73, 24-30 (2004).

# Appendix I

List of possible pesticide analytes that have been shown to yield >90% (or >70 %) recoveries using the QuEChERS method. GC-amenable pesticides

are capitalized; those preferentially analyzed by LC/MS-MS are not capitalized; those that can be analyzed by either technique are underlined.\*\*

## **Pesticide Analytes**

acephate\* acetamiprid Acrinathrin aldicarb aldicarb sulfone aldicarb sulfoxide Aldrin azaconazole azamethiphos azinphos-methyl azoxystrobin Bifenthrin bitertanol Bromopropylate bromuconazole **Bupirimate** buprofezin butocarboxim butocarboxim sulfone butocarboxim sulfoxide Cadusafos <u>carbaryl</u> carbendazim carbofuran 3-hydroxy-carbofuran chlorbromuron (α-,γ-)Chlordane (α-,β-Chlorfenvinphos Chlorpropham Chlorpyrifos Chlorpyrifos-methyl Chlorthaldimethyl Chlorothalonil\* Chlozolinate clofentezine Coumaphos cycloxydim\* (λ-)Cyhalothrin cymoxanil Cypermethrin

cyproconazole <u>cyprodinil</u> (2,4'-4,4'-)DDE (2,4'-4,4'-)DDT Deltamethrin demeton demeton-O-sulfoxide demeton-S-methyl demeton-S-methyl sulfone desmedipham Diazinon dichlofluanid\* Dichlorobenzophenone dichlorvos diclobutrazole Dicloran dicrotophos Dieldrin Diethofencarb difenoconazole Diflufenican dimethoate dimethomorph <u>diniconazole</u> Diphenyl Diphenylamine disulfoton disulfoton sulfone diuron dmsa <u>dmst</u> dodemorph  $\alpha$ -Endosulfan β-Endosulfan . Endosulfan sulfate **FPN** epoxiconazole Esfenvalerate

etaconazole

ethiofencarb sulfone ethiofencarb sulfoxide Ethion ethirimol Ethoprophos etofenprox Etridiazole Famoxadone <u>fenamiphos</u> fenamiphos sulfone Fenarimol Fenazaquin fenbuconazole fenhexamid\* Fenithrothion <u>fenoxycarb</u> Fenpiclonil Fenpropathrin Fenpropidine <u>fenpropimorph</u> <u>fenpyroximate</u> **Fenthion** fenthion sulfoxide Fenvalerate florasulam\* Flucythrinate I & II Fludioxonil flufenacet Flufenconazole flusilazole Flutolanil Fluvalinate Fonophos fosthiazate Furalaxyl furathiocarb <u>furmecyclox</u> Heptachlor Heptachlor epoxide Heptenophos

Hexachlorobenzene

hexaconazole hexythiazox imazalil imidacloprid Iprodione iprovalicarb isoprothiolane isoxathion kresoxim-methyl Lindane linuron Malathion malathion oxon Mecarbam mephosfolan Mepronil Metalaxyl metconazole methamidophos\* Methidathion methiocarb methiocarb sulfone\* methiocarb sulfoxide methomyl methomyl-oxime metobromuron metoxuron Mepanipyrim Mevinphos monocrotophos monolinuron myclobutanil nuarimol Ofurace omethoate oxadixyl oxamyl oxamyl-oxime oxydemeton-methyl paclobutrazole

Parathion Parathion-methyl penconazole pencycuron cis- Permethrin trans-Permethrin phenmedipham o-Phenylphenol **Phorate** phorate sulfone Phosalone Phosmet Phosmet-oxon phosphamidon Phthalimide picoxystrobin Piperonyl butoxide pirimicarb pirimicarb-desmethyl Pirimiphos-methyl prochloraz Procymidone profenofos Prometryn Propargite Propham propiconazole propoxur Propyzamide Prothiofos pymetrozine\* Pyrazophos pyridaben pyridaphenthion pyrifenox pyrimethanil Pyriproxyfen Quinalphos Quinoxyfen Quintozene

sethoxydim\* spinosad spiroxamine <u>tebuconazole</u> tebufenozide **Tebufenpyrad** <u>tetraconazole</u> Tetradifon Tetrahydrophthalimide Terbufos Terbufos sulfone thiabendazole thiacloprid thiamethoxam thiodicarb thiofanox thiofanox sulfone thiofanox sulfoxide thiometon thiometon sulfone thiometon sulfoxide thiophanate-methyl Tolclofos-methyl tolylfluanid\* triadimefon triadimenol Triazophos trichlorfon tricyclazole tridemorph trifloxystrobin trifluminazole Trifluralin **Triphenylphosphate** vamidothion vamidothion sulfone vamidothion sulfoxide Vinclozolin

DCN-908190-20



<sup>\*\*</sup>from "Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe (QuEChERS) Approach for Determining Pesticide Residues", Steven J. Lehotay, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Eastern Regional Research Center; 600 East Mermaid Lane; Wyndmoor, Pennsylvania 19038; USA